Attorney Docket No: ITLV-00104

REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration in view of the amendments above and the arguments set forth fully below. Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 were previously pending in this application. Within the Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 have been rejected. By the above amendment, Claims 1, 4-6, 12, 15-17, 23, 26-28, 35, 38-40 and 45 have been amended. Accordingly, Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 are currently pending.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Within the Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, it is stated that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "each of the search methodologies" in the Claims 1, 12, 23, 35 and 45. By the above amendment, the Claims have been amended to remove the term "the search methodologies." Accordingly, each of the Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 are definite and do particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For the reasons given above, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-24, 26-36 and 38-45 are now in a condition for allowance, and allowance at an early date would be appreciated. Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, she is encouraged to call the undersigned attorney at (408) 530-9700.

Respectfully submitted,
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Date: March 9, 2007

y: Jorda

Jonathan O. Owens Reg. No. 37,902 Attorneys for Applicant